

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/725,279		Applicant(s) MILLARD ET AL.	
	Examiner Carlos Lopez		Art Unit 1731	

**All Participants:**

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Kurt Ehresman.

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10am

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
3,25,27

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant agreed to the amendments of claims 3.25. and 27 as noted in the examiner's amendment to remove issues of new matter and correct typing errors.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)